Living Shorelines Introduction

A detailed profile page was created for each of the eight (8) living shoreline types listed below. The purpose of these profile pages is to provide a comprehensive overview of the design recommendations, siting criteria and regulatory topics pertinent to a range of living shorelines designs that practitioners and regulators can use as a guick reference in the field or as an informational tool when educating home owners.

Living Shoreline


- 1. Dune Natural

- 2. Dune Engineered Core
- Types
- 3. Beach Nourishment
- 4. Coastal Bank Natural
- 5. Coastal Bank Engineered Core
- 6. Natural Marsh Creation/Enhancement
- 7. Marsh Creation/Enhancement w/Toe Protection
- 8. Living Breakwater

Design Schematics

The following living shoreline profile pages provide an example design schematic for each of the eight living shoreline types. Each schematic shows a generalized cross-section of the installed design. In addition, they illustrate each design's location relative to MHW and MLW, whether plantings are recommended, if fill is required, and any other major components of the design. It is important to note that these are not full engineering designs,

and due to each sites unique conditions, a site specific plan, developed by an experienced practitioner is required for all living shoreline projects. Also note that these design schematics are meant to provide a general concept only, and are not drawn to scale.



Project Proponent	The party responsible for the project.
Status	The status of the project (i.e. design stage, under construction, or completed) and completion date if appropriate.
Permitting Insights	This section notes any specific permitting hurdles that occurred, or any regulatory insights that might help facilitate similar projects in the future.
Construction Notes	This section identifies major construction methods or techniques, any unique materials that were used, or deviations from a traditional design to accommodate site specific conditions.
Maintenance Issues	If the project is complete and has entered the maintenance phase, this section will note whether the project has functioned correctly, if it is holding up, and/or if any specific maintenance needs have been required since construction.
Final Cost	This section provides costs for the project, broken down into permitting, construction, monitoring, etc. when possible.
Challenges	This sections highlights any unique challenges associated with a particular project and how they were handled.

E	planation of
Materials	A description of a of this type.
Habitat Components	A list of what typ project of this typ
Durability and Maintenance	Although specific and schedules for
Design Life	Although specific section provides
Ecological Services Provided	This section prov improved throug
Unique Adaptations to NE Challenges (e.g. ice, winter storms, cold temps)	This section provi to improve the pe challenges.

Acronyms and Definitions

су	Cubic yards; one cubic yard equal 27 cub Project materials are often measured in
MHW	Mean High Water: The average of all the (i.e. high tide) heights observed over a p
MTL	Mean Tide Level: The average of mean h mean low water.
MLW	Mean Low Water: The average of all the (i.e. low tide) heights observed over a pe
SAV	Submerged aquatic vegetation, which ind seagrasses such as eelgrass (<i>Zostera mar</i> widgeon grass (<i>Ruppia maritima</i>).
Sediment	Naturally occurring materials that have b down by weathering and erosion. Finer, s sediments are silts or clays. Slightly coars are sands. Even larger materials are grave

² Design Overview Tables

materials most commonly used to complete a living shoreline project


pes of coastal habitats are created or impacted by a living shoreline pe.

c timelines are impossible to provide in this context, general guidelines r probable maintenance needs, and design durability are detailed here.

c design life timelines will vary by site for each living shoreline type, this some insight into factors that could influence design life.

ides an overview of the ecological services that could be provided or h the installation of that particular type of living shoreline project.

vides any unique practices or design improvements that could be made performance of the design given New England climactic and tidal



bic feet. cubic yards.

e high water period of time.

nigh water and

low water eriod of time. ncludes *rina*) and

been broken small-grained rser sediments els or cobbles

Explanation Key for Siting Characteristics and Design Considerations

Overview of Regulatory and Review Agencies Table

This table is intended to provide a comprehensive list of all the regulatory and review agencies that would potentially need to be contacted for a particular type of living shoreline project. State agencies are listed separately for each of the five coastal northeast states (Maine, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Rhode Island and Connecticut). Federal agencies that may need to be contacted for a project in any state are also listed. Note that these lists represent the full range of potential agencies. If projects do not exceed certain thresholds (e.g. extending below MHW, exceeding a certain footprint area) they may not be required to contact or receive a permit from all agencies listed.

Stratford, CT

Photo courtesy of Jennifer Mattei



Use and Applicability of Profile Pages

The profile pages that follow have been developed to improve the understanding of eight (8) different living shoreline designs. They have been designed to facilitate communication among the public, regulators, practitioners and researchers and to provide a common starting place for more detailed design discussions to follow. They are one of many resources available to those interested in coastal resilience. The compact layout provides a printable 11" x 17" page that can be used in the field or office. The format captures the primary focus areas required to identify which living shoreline designs are a good fit for a specific site (note that there may be multiple living shoreline options for some sites). The reader is presented with specific site characteristics, a conceptualization of the overall design, the challenges and benefits associated with each living shoreline design type, identification of the regulatory agencies involved in approving a design, and an illustration of how all of those components come together in a case study for each living shoreline type. These profile pages are expected to be updated periodically as more data become available. These profile pages should not take the place of a more comprehensive site evaluation and design process, but are intended to help further engage stakeholders and experts in an informed discussion about various living shoreline types.

	ey for Siting Chara
Selection Characteristics	
ES Energy State	A measure of the wave he be suitable for a particula High : Project site has Moderate : Project sit Low : Project site has
EE Existing Environmental Resources	Existing environmental re Coastal Bank Coastal Dune Coastal Beach
SR Nearby Sensitive Resources	Nearby sensitive resource particular living shoreline Endangered/Threate Submerged Aquatic V Shellfish Cobble or Rocky Bott
TR Tidal Range	The magnitude of tidal ra shoreline design. High : Tide range at pr Moderate : Tide range Low : Tide range at pr
EL Elevation	The elevation, with respensive should be sited. Above MHW: Project MHW to MLW: Project Below MLW: Project
IS Intertidal Slope	The intertidal slope appro Steep : Project site ha Moderate : Project sit Flat : Project site has a
BS Bathymetric Slope	The nearshore bathymetr Steep: Project site ha Moderate: Project sit Flat: Project site has a
ER Erosion	The rate of coastal erosio project type. High : Erosion at proje Moderate : Erosion at Low : Erosion at proje

Definitions and Categories

neight, current strength and storm surge frequency of a site that would ar living shoreline project type.

s waves greater than 5 feet, strong currents, high storm surge

te has 2 to 5 foot waves, moderate currents, moderate storm surge waves less than 2 feet in height, low current, low storm surge

esources that a proposed living shoreline project is able to overlap with. Salt Marsh

•••••
Mudflat
Subtidal

Vegetated Upland

es that, with proper planning and design, may be compatible with a e type.

ened Species Vegetation (SAV)

tom Habitat

ange at a site that would be suitable for a particular type of living

project site is more than 9 feet e at project site is between 3 and 9 feet roject site is less than 3 feet

ect to the tide range, where a particular living shoreline project type

t footprint is entirely above MHW ect footprint is located within the intertidal zone footprint is located in subtidal areas

opriate for siting a particular living shoreline project type.

as an intertidal slope steeper than 3:1 (base:height)

te has an intertidal slope between 3:1 and 5:1 (base:height)

an intertidal slope flatter than 5:1 (base:height)

ric slope appropriate for siting a particular living shoreline project type. as an bathymetric slope steeper than 3:1 (base:height) te has an bathymetric slope between 3:1 and 5:1 (base:height)

an bathymetric slope flatter than 5:1 (base:height)

on at a site that would be suitable for a particular living shoreline

ect site is high (>3 feet/year) t project site is moderate (1-3 feet/year) Low: Erosion at project site is low (<1 foot/year)